INDIAN BUREAU OF MINES MINERALS DEVELOPMENT AND REGULATION DIVISION

MCDR inspection REPORT

Bhubaneshwar regional office

Mine code : 300RI08059 Mine file No : ORI/IRON/KJR/MCDR-35/BBS

Name of the Inspecting : DJ03) DILIP JAIN

Officer and ID No.

: Junior Mining Geologist (ii) Designation

: Sri Manish Kumar, Mines MAnager (iii) Accompaning mine

Official with Designation

: 21/12/2018 (iv) Date of Inspection

(v) Prev.inspection date : 19/07/2017

PART-I : GENERAL INFORMATION

: KHONDBOND Mine Name 1. (a)

: 4376/300RI08059 Registration NO. (b)

: A Mechanised (c) Category

(d) Type of Working : Opencast

(e) Postal address

: ORISSA State : KEONJHAR District : KHONDBOND Village : CHAMPUA Taluka : BICHAKUNDI Post office

: 758034 Pin Code : 0676772239 FAX No.

: md.office@tatasteel.com E-mail

: 06767 72239 Phone

: Bamebari Police Station (f)

First opening date : 17/01/1983 (g)

Weekly day of rest : SUN (h)

: VILL: KHANDBOND& GURDA Address for

correspondance PO:BICHAKUNDI

P.S.JODA, DIST: KEONJHAR, ORISSA, PIN: 758034

: ORI0108 Lease Number 3 (a)

: 978 (b) Lease area

: W.e.f 17/01/2003 to 31/03/2030 as per supplementary leave Period of lease (c)

deed execuated on 08/05/2015. (d) : 31/03/2030 Date of Expiry

: MANGANESE ORE Associated Mineral worked Main IRON ORE

(*) Original lease period: - 17/01/1933 to 16/01/1963

1st Renewal period: - 17/01/1963 to 16/01/1983

2nd Renewal Period: - 17/01/1983 to 16/01/2003

5. Name and Address of the

Lessee

TISCO

Bombay House 24, Homy Mody Street Mumbai MUMBAI (SUBURBAN) MAHARASHTRA

Phone: FAX

Owner

T V NARENDRAN

TATA STEEL LIMITED

JAMSHEDPUR, POST-BISTUPUR SINGBHUM EAST, JHARKHAND SINGHBHUM (EAST) JHARKHAND

Phone: 06572431818 FAX : 0657-2431818

Agent

SHIRISH SHEKHAR

KHONDBOND IRON AND MN MINES

KEONJHAR ODISHA KEONJHAR

ORISSA

Phone: 7209003547 FAX : 0676772239

Mining Engineer

Name

Manish Kumar, Full Time

Qualification : Appointment/

B.E. Mining 24/06/2018

Termination date

Geologist

Name

KANISHKA DATTA, Full Time

Qualification :

MSC TECH (APPLIED GEOLOGY)

Appointment/

Termination date

Manager

Name

Manish Kumar

01/07/2013

Qualification :

B.E. Mining 24/09/2018

Appointment/ Termination date

6. Date of approval of Mining Plan/Scheme of Mining

Renewal under rule 22 MCR1960 11/12/2001 Modif.of approved Mining Plan Modif.of approved Mining Plan 11/06/2004 09/03/2009 09/03/2009 FMCP under 23C(1) Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 31/03/2009 Mining Scheme rule 12 MCDR1988 19/06/2013 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 19/01/2016 Modif.approved Mining Scheme 24/08/2016 MP review under 17(1) MCR 2016 09/11/2017

PART - II : OBSERVATION/COMMENTS OF INSPECTING OFFICERS

Exploration :

| Sl.No. | Item | Proposals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|---|---|
| 1a | Backlog of previous year | During the Year 2017-18 , 35 Nos. of Bore Holes have been proposed. | 10 nos. BH have been drilled during year 2017-18. | Violation issued for less drilling vide letter dated 02/01/2019. |
| 1b | Exploration over lease area for geological axis 1 or 2 | As per approved document dated 24/08/2016, a total of 135 BH have been proposed from 2015-16 to 2017-18 to explore lease area under G1/G2 level. | A total of 97 Bh have been drilled during the period from 2015-16 to 2017-18. | Violation letter issued for the less drilling in the year 2017-18 vide letter dated 02/01/2019. |
| 1c | Exploration Agencies and Expenditure in lakh rupees during the year | No specific proposal for exploration agency and expenditure. | The exploration work has been carried out by M/s TATA Steel Limited. | s NIL. |
| 1d | Balance area to be explored to bring Geological axis in 1 or 2 | As per approved document dated 24/08/2016, an area of 378.10 Ha was to be explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. | As per approved document dated 09/11/2017, an area of 339.06 Ha was to be explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. Hence an area of 39.04 Ha explored under G1/G2 level of exploration. | |

1e Balance reserve as on 01/04/20

The position of reserve and resource as on 01/08/2015 as per approved document dated 24/08/2016 was as follows: Iron Ore: Reserve: 162.78 mt Resource: 138.94 mt Manganese Ore: Reserve: 0.522 mt

The position of reserve and resources as on 01/04/2018 was as follows: Iron Ore:

Reserve: 106.01 mt Resource: 176.76 mt Manganese Ore: Reserve: 0.799 mt Resource: 1.25 mt

mt stands for million tonnes. The figures of reserve and resources as on 01/04/2018 are as per AR 2017-18.

1f General remarks of inspecting officers on geology, exploration etc

As per approved document dated 24/08/2016, a total of 135 BH have been proposed from 2015-16 to 2017-18 to explore lease area under G1/G2 level.

Resource: 1.425 mt

> A total of 97 Bh have been drilled during the period from 2015-16 to 2017-18. As per document the year 2017-18 approved dated vide letter dated 09/11/2017, an area of 546.38 Ha has been explored under G1/G2 level of exploration and an area of 92.56 has been found as barren.

Violation letter issued for the less drilling in 28/12/2018.

Development :

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|-----------|---|---|
| 2a | Location of development w.r.t.lease area | | The development of Iron and Mnaganese quarry found within proposed limit i.e. Iron Ore: Pit P&N (12110N to 13930N and 10560E to 9640E) Manganese Ore: (13992N to 14245N and 9114E to 9292E) | Iron Ore development carried out only in P&N Ore Body (pit). No development of pit 5 due to want of working permission from DFO. Manganese pit development in Ore Zone XII. |

Remarks

Separate benches No proposal of Separate benches being NIL. 2b maintained in OB and top soil in topsoil, generation, as Ore. No top soil overburden and no fresh area generation during year minerals (Rule 2017-18. has been 15) proposed for development in year 2017-18. Separate benches in OB and Ore. Stripping ratio Iron- 1:0.04 CuM/Tonnes Iron- 1:0.07 Stripping ratio 2c Mn- 1:2.97 CuM/Tonnes could not be CuM/Tonnes or ore to OB maintained due to Mn - 1:4.4ratio less production of CuM/Tonnes Iron Ore. Considering conversion factor 2.5 tonnes/ CuM of waste. No proposal of No top soil generation NIL. 2d Quantity of top soil during year 2017-18. topsoil generation in m3 generation, as no fresh area has been proposed for development in year 2017-18. The less Iron: 0.11 million CuM Iron: 0.837 2e Quantity of generation of Mn: 0.15 million CuM million CuM overburden waste is in generation in m3 Mn: 0.22 account of less million CuM ROM production of Iron Ore. No development of Iron Ore development 2f General remarks As per pit 5 due to want carried out only in P&N of inspecting approved of working Ore Body (pit). Due to document two officers on permission from pits namely delay in getting development of statutory clearances Pit DFO. Pit 5 and Pit pit w.r.t. type -5 couldn't be P&N have been of deposit etc proposed for developed. development to exploit Iron Ore and one pit namely OZ-XII have been proposed for development in Managnese Ore zone.

Actual work

Propasals

Exploitation:

Item

Sl.No.

MR.

| 3a | Number of pit proposed for production | Iron Ore: Two pits namely Pit P&N and Pit 5 Manganese Ore: One pit namely Ore Zone XII. | Iron Ore: One pit namely P&N. Manganese Ore: One pit namely Ore Zone XII. | No working in pit 5 during year 2017-18 due to want of working permission from DFO. |
|----|---|---|---|---|
| 3b | Quantity of ROM mineral production proposed | Iron: 11.394 MT Mn: 50000 Tonnes | Iron: 2.57 MT Mn: 49991.932 T | The less production of Iron Ore ROM is due to delay in getting working permission from DFO for proposed area of Pit-5and delayed in construction of 8MTPA beneficiation plan. |
| 3c | Recovery of sailable/usable mineral from ROM production | | Iron: 2.20 mt Mn: 42485 tonnes | The less production of Iron Ore is due to delay in getting working permission from DFO for proposed area of Pit-5and delayed in construction of 8MTPA beneficiation plan. |
| 3d | Quantity of mineral reject generation | Iron: 3.394 mt Mn: 2500 Tonnes | Iron: 0.396 mt Mn: 7506 Tonnes | Due to less production of ROM. |
| 3e | Grade of mineral rejects generation and threshold value declared. | Iron Ore: 45 to 58% Fe Mn Ore: 10 to 25% Mn | Iron Ore: 45 to 58% Fe Mn Ore: 10 to 25% Mn | Threshold value for Iron Ore is 45% Fe and for Manganese Ore is 10% Mn. |
| 3f | Quantity of sub grade mineral generation. | Iron: 3.394 mt Mn: 2500 Tonnes | Iron: 0.396 mt Mn: 7506 Tonnes | Sub-grade generation of Iron Ore is less due to less production of Iron Ore ROM. Sub- grade of Mn ore generation is in higher side to encounter of Sub- grade zone. Same figures has also been reflected in the 3d para for |

| 3g | Grade of sub grade mineral generation | Iron Ore: 45 to 58% Fe Mn Ore: 10 to 25% Mn | Iron Ore: 45 to 58% Fe Mn Ore: 10 to 25% Mn | Threshold value for Iron Ore is 45% Fe and for Manganese Ore is 10% Mn. |
|----|---|--|---|---|
| 3h | Manual / Mechanised method adopted for segregating from ROM | Mechanized | Mechanized | NIL. |
| 3i | Any analysis or beneficiation study proposed and carried out for sub grade mineral and rejects. | No proposal for any analysis or beneficiation study for Sub- grade or Mineral reject during current year. | A wet beneficiation plant of 8MTPA Iron Ore is under construction. | NIL. |
| 3j | Provision of drilling and blasting in mineral benches | Drilling will be done by 100mm & 150 mm diameter drills and blasting will be done using conventional slurry and SME explosives. | The drilling and blasting practice found to be as per the proposal. | NIL. |
| 3k | Provision of mining machineries in mineral benches | Mining machineries are proposed for exccavation like excavators and dumpers. Shovel: 6.0 cu.m. Dumper: 90 tonnes etc. | | NIL. |
| 31 | Whether height of benches in overburden and mineral suitable for method of mining proposed in MP/SOM | Iron: 10 Manganese: 6 | Iron: 10 Manganese: 6 | NIL. |

3m Total area covered under excavation/pits As per approved document dated 158.139 Ha.

As per AR 2017-18, area put under excavation is

NIL.

area of 242.45 Ha has been proposed under excavation at the end of the plan period i.e. 2017-18.

24/08/2016, an

3 n Ore to OB ratio for the pit/mine CuM/Tonnes during the year. Mn- 1:4.4

Iron- 1:0.07 CuM/Tonnes

Iron- 1:0.04 CuM/Tonnes Mn- 1:2.97 CuM/Tonnes

Stripping ratio could not be maintained due to less production of

Total area put in use under different heads at the end of year

(i) Covered under current current (O/C) disposal 127.440, (iii) buildings, Occupied by plant, buildings, residential, welfare buildings & roads 75.337, (iv) Other Purpose (Storing Minerals, top soil, tailing pond & safety

79.242 (As per approved document dated 24/08/2016).

zone)

(i) Covered under (O/C) Workings Workings 158.139 242.450, (ii) (ii) Used for waste Used for waste disposal 40.117 (iii) Occupied by plant, residential, welfare buildings & roads 42.477 (iv) Other Purpose (Storing Minerals, top soil, tailing pond & safety zone) 33.487

Iron Ore. Considering conversion factor 2.5 tonnes/ CuM of waste.

Figures as per AR of the year 2017-18.

NIL. Iron Ore Production: Iron Ore Production of 2013-14:1.50 mt ROM mineral Production: 2014-15:0.20 mt 2013-14:8.78 during the last 2015-16:1.68 mt five year period mt 2016-17:2.45 mt as applicable 2014-15:9.28 2017-18:2.57 mt mt 2015-16:6.28 Manganese Ore mt 2016-17:8.29 Production: 2013-14:0.070 mt 2014-15:0.0008 mt 2017-18:11.39 2015-16:0.0048 mt mt 2016-17:0.57 mt Manganese Ore 2017-18:0.49 mt Production: 2013-14:0.070 mt 2014-15:0.82 mt 2015-16:0.52 2016-17:0.64 2017-18:0.50 Full mechanized opencast NIL. Full 3q General remarks method of mining is mechanized of inspecting being practiced at mine. opencast officers on method of mining method of mining has been proposed to win the

Solid Waste Management - Dumping:

ore.

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|-------------|---------|
| 4a | Separate dumping of topsoil, OB and mineral rejects (Rule 32,33) | top soil generation, as no fresh area has been | | NIL. |

4b Location of mineral reject dumps

have been proposed at Dump 5A: N12020-11400/E9590-N12512-12114/E9500-N12720-13070/E9570-N10250-10610/E9420-9810, Dump-B: N10320-10560/E10750-11030 and Dump A: N13150-13320/E8640-8850. Manganese Zone: Area of quarry OZ-X bounded between grid N13830-14100/E13950-8970 has been proposed for temporary

Iron Ore Zone Iron Ore Zone waste topsoil, OB and waste dumping dumping: Out of proposed six locations dumping haven carried out over six locations - the three locations due to less generation of waste. Dumping have been carried out over Waste 1020, Dump 5B: dump 5A, 5B and Dump A. Dumping found within the approved limit. 9680, Dump-1: Manganese Zone: Waste dumping has been observd as per propsal made in 9760, Dump-7: approved document.

NIL.

Number of dumps within lease of lease area

4c

area. Other dups three fresh for waste dumping and OZ-X is also proposed for the waste dumping. No proposal for outside lease dumping.

waste dumping.

There are five Near to Manganese Ore existing dumps Quarry there exist three area and outside in the lease locations for waste dumping and near Iron than existing Ore quarry there exist three locations for waste dumping. Hence, locations have total six waste dumping been proposed locations are in lease area on the date of inspection. Waste area of quarry dumping has not been observed outside of the lease area.

All dumping area observed within applied lease area boundary.

| 4d | Location of dumps w.r.t. ultimate pit limit (Rule 16) | Dumphs have been proposed outside of the ultimate pit limit. | Location of waste dumps doesnt fall under ultimate pit limit. | NIL. |
|-----|--|---|--|---|
| 4e | Number of active and alive dumps. | | 4 Nos. (locations) | Less generation of waste due to less production of ROM. |
| 4f | Number of dead dumps. | No proposal. | 2 Nos | NIL. |
| 4g | Number of dumps established. | No Proposal. | 2 Nos | NIL. |
| 4h | Whether Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps are there. | D1 21 11 | Retaing wall observed along the waste dumps. | NIL. |
| 4i | Length of Retaining wall or garland drain all along dumps | Proposal of 2225 mts of retaining wall along with garland drain of 1800 mts has been given along the waste dumps and SG dumps. | Retaing wall and garland drain of 1453 mts have been construted during the reporting year. | Three waste dumps have not been developed due to less generation of waste. Hence, retaining wall have not been constructed. |
| 4 j | Number of settling ponds | 1 | 1 | NIL. |
| 4k | Specific comments of inspecting officer on waste dump management | waste has been proposed at | Dumping has been carried out at four locations only due to less generation of the waste. | NIL. |

Solid Waste Management - Backfilling:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| 5a | Status of part or full extraction of mineral from mined out area before starting backfilling. | No Proposal for reporting year. | NIL. | No pit has been matured for backfilling. |
| 5b | Area under backfilling of mined out area | No Proposal for reporting year. | NIL. | NIL. |

| 5c | Concurrent use of topsoil for restoration or rehabilitation of mineral out area (Rule 32) | top soil generation, as no fresh area has been | Entire top soil generated during past years have been utilized. Top soil has not been generated during the year 2017-18. | NIL. |
|----|--|---|---|------|
| 5d | Total area fully reclaimed and rehabilitated | No Proposal in the reporting year. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 5e | General remarks of inspecting officers on backfilling and reclamation etc. | pit area is | NIL. | NIL. |

Progressive Mine Clousre Plan:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|---|--|---------|
| 6a | Whether Annual report on PMCP submitted on time and correctly. Rule 23 E(2). | As per rule 26(2) of MCDR, 2017, PMCP report to be submitted for previous year by 1st July. | Annual PMCP report for reporting year i.e. 2017-18 has been submitted. | NIL. |
| 6b | Area available for rehabilitation (ha) . | 3.0 Ha area has been proposed for the plantation. | 6.70 Ha area have been planted. | NIL. |
| 6c | afforestation done (ha). | 3.0 Ha area has been proposed for the plantation. | 6.70 Ha area have been planted. | NIL. |
| 6d | No. of saplings planted during the year | 10500 Nos. | 18540 Nos. | NIL. |
| 6e | Cumulative no .of plants | No specific proposal. | 341460 Nos. | NIL. |
| 6f | Any other method of rehabilitation | No Proposal of any other method of rehabilitation | NIL. | NIL. |
| 6g | Cost incurred on watch and care during the year | Rs. 6,30,000/- | Rs. 19,63,015/- | NIL. |

| 6h | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (i) Voids available for backfilling (Lx B x D | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
|----|--|--------------|------|------|
| 6i | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (ii) Voids filled by waste / tailings | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 6j | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iii) Afforestati on on backfilled area | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 6k | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (iv) Rehabilitation by making water reservoir | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 61 | Compliance on reclamation and rehabilitation by backfilling (v) any other specific means. | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 6m | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (i) afforestation | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 6n | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (ii) Area rehabilitation (ha) | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |
| 60 | Compliance of rehabilitation of waste land within lease (iii) Method of rehabilitation | No Proposal. | NIL. | NIL. |

| 6p | Compliance of environmental monitoring (core zone and buffer zone) | been given for environment | Environment Monitoring was carried out in core and buffer zone for reporting year. | NIL. |
|----|--|---|--|------|
| 6q | of inspecting officers on PMCP compliance and progressive | matured for reclamation and rehabiliation. However, | Plantation has been carried out over the matured slope of the waste dumps as well as gap filling plantation has also been carried out. | NIL. |

Mineral Conservation:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|--|--|--|---|
| 7a | ROM Mineral dispatch or grade-wise sorting within lease area | Grade wise sorting within lease area has been proposed. | Grade wise sorting was observed in lease area. | NIL. |
| 7b | Method of grade- wise mineral sorting i.e. manual or mechanical. | Mechanical for Iron ore and Manual for Manganese ore. | Mechanical for Iron ore and Manual for Manganese ore. | NIL. |
| 7c | Different grade of mineral sorted out at mines. | grade from 45 to 58% and > 58% Fe. | Iron Ore: 45 to 58% Fe, 62 to 65% Fe and >65% Fe. Mn Ore: 10 to 25% Mn, 25 to 35% Mn, 35 to 46% Mn, >46% Mn and Dioxide Grade. | For reporting year i.e. 2017-18. |
| 7d | Any beneficiation process at mines . | A wet beneficiation plant is proposed to install in the lease area for beneficiation of Iron Ore. | It is observed that wet beneficiation is being constructed. | No beneficiation process, only crushing and screening is carried out. |

7e General remarks Proposal of of inspecting separate officer on stacking of Mineral Sub grade conservation and material for beneficiation future use or

issues

separate being stacked stacking of Sub grade beneficiation plan of 8 MTPA

is proposed to construct.

MR/Sub-grade material is being stacked separately or future use or beneficiation. A wet beneficiation plant is being under construction.

NIL.

Environment:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual | work | Remarks |
|--------|---|--|------------------|--|---------|
| 8a | Separate removal and utilization of topsoil (Rule 32) | top soil | No top during | soil generation the year 2017-18. | NIL. |
| 8b | Concurrent use or storage of topsoil | No proposal of top soil generation, as no fresh area has been proposed for development in year 2017-18. | during | soil generation the year 2017-18. | NIL. |
| 8C | Separate dumps for overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines (Rule 33) | Separate dumping of Waste, Mineral reject has been proposed. | Waste, | te dumping of Mineral reject served. | NIL. |
| 8d | Use of overburden, waste rock, rejects and fines dumps for restoring the land to its original use | No proposal. | NIL. | | NIL. |

| 8e | Phased restoration, reclamation and rehabilitation of lands affected by mining operations (Pits, dumps etc) | Plantation was proposed in 3 Ha area of dumps. | Plantation was done over 6.7 Ha area. | NIL. |
|----|---|---|---|--------------------|
| 8f | Baseline information on existence of plantation and additional plantation done (Rule 41) | were to be | Achieved Plantation of 18540 number during reporting year. | NIL. |
| 8g | Survival rate | No specific proposal of survival rate. | 85% (within lease area) | AS per AR 2017-18. |
| 8h | Water sprinkling on roads to control airborne dust | airborne dust | Water sprinkling observed. | NIL. |
| 8i | General remarks of inspecting officer on aesthetic beauty in and around mines area | dust suppresion by | Water sprinkling for dust suppresion by fixed and water tankers observed as per proposal. | NIL. |

Compliance of Rule 45:

| Sl.No. | Item | Propasals | Actual work | Remarks |
|--------|---|-----------|--|---|
| 9a | Status of submission of Monthly and Annual returns | of MCDR , | Monthly and annual returns have been submitted for reporting year. | Monthly return of the mont Nov and Dec 2018 has been examined and found to be ok. |
| 9b | Scrutiny of Annual return for information on Mining Engineer, Geologist and Manager | has been | Mining engineer and Geologist are appointed. | Scrutiny of annual return 2017-18. |

| | _ | //\ | The land use pattern is | Scrutiny of annual |
|----|---|--|--|------------------------------------|
| 90 | Scrutiny of Annual return on land use pattern for area under pits, reclaimed area, dumps etc. | | appears to be correct. | return 2017-18. |
| 9d | Scrutiny of Annual return on afforestation | 18540 Nos. | The information furnished on afforestation appears to be correct. | Scrutiny of annual return 2017-18. |
| 9e | Scrutiny of Annual return on mineral reject generation (Grade and quantity) | Iron Ore: 396781 tonne (Grade 45 to 58% Fe) and Manganese Ore: 7506 tonne (Grade 10 to 25% Mn) | The information furnished on mineral reject generation appears to be correct. | Scrutiny of annual return 2017-18. |
| 9f | Scrutiny of Annual return on ROM stock and/or graded ore | | The information furnished on ROM stock appears to be correct. | Scrutiny of annual return 2017-18. |
| 9g | Scrutiny of Annual return on sale value, Ex. Mine price and production cost | Price: Rs.1429.11 (Mn Ore) and | The Sale value is NIL because of captive consumption of the mineral. Ex mine price and cost of production furnished are appears to be correct. | Scruting of annual return 2017-18. |
| 9h | Scrutiny of Annual return on fixed assets | | The information furnished on fixed assets is seems to correct. | Scrutiny of annual return 2017-18. |

9k

Scrutiny of Shovel, Information furnished Scrutiny of annual Annual return on dumpers, appears to be correct. mining loaders, water machineries tanker,

Compressor, Dozer,

Explosive Van, drill machine

etc.

Details of violations observed during current inspection and compliance position of violation pointed out

| Violation observed | | | | Show couse position | |
|--------------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| Rule 1 | 40 . | Issued on | Compliance on | Rule NO. | Issued on Compliance on |
| MCDR17 | Rule 11(1) | 02/01/2019 | 06/03/2019 | | 1 |
| MCDR17 | Rule 12(1) | 02/01/2019 | 06/03/2019 | | Drily 3/2019 |

Date :

(DILIP JAIN)

Indian Bureau of Mines